PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004. Complete if Known Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818). 10/056,312 **Application Number** FEE TRANSMITTAL January 24, 2002 Filing Date for FY 2005 Daryl S. Meredith et al. First Named Inventor Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 **Examiner Name** I. Hamilton Art Unit 3724 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500 Attorney Docket No. TN-1488

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)										
☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify) :										
Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 02-2548 Deposit Account Name: Black & Decker (U.S.) Inc.										
For the above-ide	entified depos	sit account, the Dire	ector is hereby	authorized to: (che	eck all that app	oly)				
⊠ Charge fee	d below, except	for the filing fee								
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s)										
Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card										
Information and authorization on PTO-2038.										
FEE CALCULATION										
1. BASIC FILING, SEA										
	ES SEARCH FE									
		mall Entity	- (A)	Small Entity		Small Entity	Fare Daid (6)			
Application Type	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee(\$)</u>	<u>Fee(\$)</u>	<u>Fee(\$)</u>	<u>Fee(\$)</u>	<u>Fee(\$)</u>	Fees Paid (\$)			
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100				
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65				
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80				
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300				
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0				
2. EXCESS CLAIM FE	ES						Small Entity			
Fee Description	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	Fee (\$)								
Each claim over 20 (inc	50	25								
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)							100			
	Multiple dependent claims 360						180 Dependent Claims			
Total Claims	Extra Cla	aims Fee(\$ x) <u>ree</u>	e Paid (\$)		Fee (\$)				
20 or HP=			_			<u>ree (\$)</u>	ree Faid (\$)			
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.										
Indep. Claims										
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.										
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE										
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer										
listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50										
sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)										
<u>Total Sheets</u> - 100 :	Fee Paid (\$)									
4. OTHER FEE(S)		Fees Paid (\$)								
Non-English Spe		rees raid (4)								
Other (e.g., late f		\$500								
Other (e.g., late i										
SUBMITTED BY										

38,373 Signature (Attorney/Agent) November 9, 2005 Date Name (Print/Type) This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Registration No.

Telephone

410-716-2368

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

OE	Application Number		10/056,312						
ON TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date		January 24, 2002						
NOV 1 0 2005 FORM	First Named Inventor		Daryl S. Meredith et al.						
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Art Unit		3724						
Total Number of Pages in This Submiss	Examiner Name		I. Hamilton						
Total Number of Pages in This Submiss	ion	Attorney Docket No	ımber	TN-1488					
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)									
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allo	owance Communication to TC				
Fee Attached	Licensing	related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences					
Amendment / Reply	Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)					
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information						
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter						
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):						
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund		Return Post	Card					
Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD								
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks								
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application									
Reply to Missing Parts									
under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53									
SIGI	NATURE OF A	APPLICANT, ATTOR	RNEY, O	RAGENT					
Firm	Black & Decker Inc								
Signature	All								
Printed Name	Adan Ayala, Esq.								
Date	November 9, 2005 Reg. No.		_	38,373					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING									
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.									
Signature									
Typed or printed name Adan Aya	ala, Esq.			Date	November 9, 2005				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

OPE LITHLITY PATENT

B&D No. TN-1488

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re application of: Daryl S. Meredith et al.

Serial No.: 10/056,312

Examiner:

I. Hamilton

Filed: January 24, 2002

Group Art Unit: 3724

For: MITER SAW

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

REPLY BRIEF

Adan Ayala

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed on September 22, 2005.

As to the Ushiwata/Tsune combination, the Examiner has admitted that Ushiwata discloses all elements called for in Claim 1 except for the second gear being driven by the motor via a belt.

The Examiner relies on Tsune to provide such missing element because "it would have been obvious to provide a belt in Ushiwata as taught by Tsune in order to provide a backlash eliminator assembly, which eliminates backlash between gears."

11/14/2005 WABDELR1 00000051 022548 1

LP-1409

UTILITY PATENT B&D No. TN -1488

As discussed in the Appeal Brief, this rejection is improper as the Examiner has failed to find some suggestion or motivation to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the reference teachings. When called upon to provide such motivation, the Examiner argued that the motivation to provide a belt can be found in Tsune "in order to provide a backlash eliminator assembly, which eliminates backlash between gears." However, Tsune does not teach using a belt to provide a backlash eliminator, as fully explained in the Appeal Brief. Because the belt does not provide the functionality claimed by the Examiner, the alleged motivation to combine is invalid.

In view of such facts, the Examiner then admitted that "the belt and pulley system are not a part of the backlash eliminator." However, the Examiner argued "the belt and pulley are required in the configuration of any apparatus that has the backlash eliminator because of the spatial arrangements and spatial constraints." In other words, the belt and pulley system are needed in all apparatus that have a backlash eliminator.

In response, Applicants/Appellant pointed out that US Patent No. 5,8233,081 ("Tsune '081") disclosed a circular saw with a backlash eliminator without a belt or pulleys. In other words, "any apparatus that has the backlash eliminator" does not require a belt and pulley, as alleged by the Examiner.

In the Examiner's Answer, the Examiner now argues that Tsune '081 "is capable of using a belt and pulley system with the motor in order to drive the second gear 74... Tsune '081 does

UTILITY PATENT B&D No. TN -1488

not disclose how the motor is connected to the second gear 74... The appellant's argument is flawed because the motor is not shown in figure 7 of Tsune '081, and there is no description of how the motor and the second gear 74 are connected. Moreover, it appears from figure 7 of Tsune '081 that the second gear 74 is driven by an assembly that is below the second gear 74, and spaced away from the backlash assembly 65, giving credence to the argument that the motor must be spaced away from the backlash assembly."

Applicants/Appellant readily admit that the motor is spaced away from the backlash assembly and below the second gear. Applicants/Appellant however do not agree that the motor is necessarily connected to gear 74 via a belt.

Instead, Applicants/Appellant submit that the motor may have a motor shaft with one or more intervening gears that mesh with second gear 74, thus transmitting the motor's rotational action to second gear 74. With such arrangement, it is not necessary for a saw with a backlash eliminator to have a belt and pulley system. In other words, the Examiner is incorrect in assuming that "the belt and pulley are required in the configuration of any apparatus that has the backlash eliminator."

Regardless of the above, the Examiner still believes "it would have been obvious to provide a belt in Ushiwata as taught by Tsune in order to provide a backlash eliminator assembly, which eliminates backlash between gears" even though (a) the Tsune belt is not used in a backlash eliminator assembly, as admitted by the Examiner, and (b) not all backlash eliminator

Serial No. 10/056,312

UTILITY PATENT B&D No. TN -1488

assemblies require a belt, as shown by Tsune '081. Applicants/Appellant submit that, since a belt is not used in the Tsune backlash eliminator assembly or necessary for such backlash eliminator assembly to exist in a saw, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not have added the Tsune belt to Ushiwata to provide a backlash eliminator assembly, as alleged by the Examiner. Therefore, the Examiner's rationale for combining Ushiwata and Tsune is invalid.

Because the Examiner has failed to identify a valid motivation to combine Ushiwata and Tsune, no proper *prima facie* case of obviousness can be made. Therefore, Claim 1 is patentable over Ushiwata/Tsune. Accordingly, Applicant/Appellant urges the Board to reverse the Examiner's improper rejection of the claim under Ushiwata/Tsune and to allow such claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Adan Ayala, Reg. No. 38,373

Attorney for Applicants Phone No. (410) 716-2368